Recommendation	Agree?	Comment
1) That when the Council commissions the EV infrastructure study it asks those who produce it to come up with a clear recommended prioritisation for EV infrastructure roll-out which takes account of the wider policy context of reducing overall private car ownership and use in the city. The prioritisation should be clear, widely understandable by and acceptable to the public and the report should indicate how it could be applied in practice.		
2) That the Council amends paragraph 16 of the report to remove reference to the Council already being committed to Connecting Oxford, and states instead that the council has agreed to further scheme and business case development.		
3) That the Council includes within the EV strategy an evolution plan for the ZEZ for when ceases to serve its congestion-reducing function due to increased EV take-up.		
4a) That the Council investigates the practicability of not partnering with or commissioning organisations relating to the EV strategy in which it would be unable to invest because of its ethical investment policy		
4b) That the Council amends its ethical investment policy to make explicit reference to exploitative mining practices and arms trading as proscribed		

Recommendations to Cabinet for Checking: EV Strategy Report

activities.	