

Internal audit summary report for Audit and Governance Committee



June 2011

Contents

Section

1.	Plan outturn	. 3
2.	Reporting and activity progress	
3 - 1	Recent PwC Publications	. 5
App	endix One	. 7

1. Plan outturn

2010/11 and 2011/12 Audit Plan

We have undertaken work in accordance with the 2010/11 Internal Audit Plan which was approved by you. Our performance against this plan has been reported within our Annual Report presented at this meeting.

In addition, we have commenced planning and fieldwork for our 2011/12 reviews and will report on progress against this at your next meeting.

2. Reporting and activity progress

Final reports issued since the previous meeting

- **Budgetary Control** An opinion of **HIGH ASSURANCE** has been provided for the Council's budget setting and monitoring process. Only 1 moderate risk issue was noted around the delays in populating a budgetary control risk register in year.
- **Collection Fund MODERATE ASSURANCE** has been given on the Council's Fixed Council Tax and NNDR processes. 1 high risk issue was noted around the level of aged debt over 1 years old which has been summarised as follows:

	Debt over 1 year	Debt over 5 years
Council Tax	£3.3million (72%)	£0.954million
	£1.257million (49%)	£0.161million

- **Firewalls** We have given an opinion of **MODERATE ASSURANCE** on controls around the Councils Firewalls. 1 high risk issue was noted the need to improve controls around the configuration of the Councils CCTV firewall. This is mainly around the need to restrict and prevent access.
- **Performance Management** We have reviewed the processes in place for collecting data for a number of the Councils performance indicators. 1 high risk issue was noted around the collection of data on efficiency savings (Ni179). In addition, medium risk issues were noted on a number of indicators as supporting documentation was not retained in all cases.
- **Limited Assurance Reports:** The following reports have been issued with limited assurance and as such have been brought in full for this meeting for discussion:
 - Debtors
 - PARIS and I Trent Process Level Controls
 - Data Loss
- Year end support (Financial Accounts and Annual Governance Statement) We are
 continuing to support the Council in preparing their accounts under IFRS and the Annual
 Governance Statement. No formal opinion is to be issued in this area

3 - Recent PwC Publications

As part of our regular reporting to you, we plan to keep you up to date with the emerging thought leadership we publish. The PricewaterhouseCoopers Public Sector Research Centre (PSRC) produces a range of research and is a leading centre for insights, opinion and research on best practice in government and the public sector. We have highlighted some recent publications that may be of interest to the Council below:

Making your Property Work Harder:

The 2010 Spending Review set out far-reaching spending cuts across the public sector and has placed unprecedented financial pressure on local authorities. With local government facing funding cuts of around 26%, there is an expectation that they find savings from property while protecting the front-line.

Contrary to popular opinion, once the schools portfolio is stripped out and depressed market prices are factored in, there is not an excess of council property. Asset sales over the last 30 years have funded significant capital programmes and releasing buildings from the operational estate is no longer easy. The straightforward deals are done and the low-hanging fruit long since picked.

If public sector bodies are to avoid 'slash and burn' with the inevitable consequences for service delivery, a more challenging approach to property rationalisation that is tied to service redesign is required. This approach challenges services' dependency on assets and explores new channels of delivery.

This publication outlines how the importance of a mature property function and how property should be rationalised to drive out efficiencies in local government.

Capable Communities: Towards Citizen-Powered Public Services

Everyone is talking about the 'Big Society' as part of the next stage of public service reform, but much of the discussion to date has been abstract rather than practical. Getting citizens more involved in the design and delivery of public services has real promise as a way of empowering citizens, improving outcomes and providing better value for money. But we need to understand much better how this agenda can be translated into practice. This report asks how, in practical terms, citizens can act together to improve the way public services work for them. This can involve individuals volunteering their time to help others, but it is also about empowering people to help themselves.

Standardising processes, improving performance

Information Technology (IT) is vital to the workings of local government and underpins all of the services that councils deliver. However, the IT that supports day to day processes and activities is often needlessly complex and fails to deliver service improvements or meaningful productivity gains. In addition, despite the significant spend on IT infrastructure during the boom years of e-government, this investment has failed to deliver some of the predicted benefits of improved business processes and ready access to both information and services for customers and employees alike.

Despite this current state of play, we are optimistic for the future. We believe that the right IT will underpin more efficient operating models for councils in the future. In addition, we estimate that councils could decrease their total cost of IT by up to 20% (based on PwC's work with councils

undertaking this type of transformation journey). This can be achieved while maintaining or improving services, based on our experience of transformation work at over 40 organisations, where IT simplification is seen as a key enabler.

In this Talking Points publication we explore how councils can break out of the current vicious cycle, which leads to higher IT costs, and demonstrate how councils can simplify IT requirements to create simpler, more cost effective IT environments that support improved standard processes and models of working.

Appendix One

Our assessment criteria are shown below:

Each of the issues identified has been categorised according to risk as follows:

Risk rating	Assessment rationale
Critical	Control weakness that could have a significant impact upon, not only the system, function or process objectives but also the achievement of the <i>authority's objectives</i> in relation to: the efficient and effective use of resources the safeguarding of assets the preparation of reliable financial and operational information compliance with laws and regulations.
High	Control weakness that has or is likely to have a significant impact upon the achievement of key <i>system, function or process</i> objectives. This weakness, whilst high impact for the system, function or process does not have a significant impact on the achievement of the overall authority objectives.
Medium	Control weakness that: • has a low impact on the achievement of the key system, function or process objectives; • has exposed the system, function or process to a key risk, however the likelihood of this risk occurring is low.
Low	Control weakness that does not impact upon the achievement of key system , function or process objectives; however implementation of the recommendation would improve overall control.

Overall opinion rating:

Level of assurance	Description
High	No control weaknesses were identified; or Our work found some low impact control weaknesses which, if addressed would improve overall control. However, these weaknesses do not affect key controls and are unlikely to impair the achievement of the objectives of the system. Therefore we can conclude that the key controls have been adequately designed and are operating effectively to deliver the objectives of the system, function or process.
Moderate	There are some weaknesses in the design and/or operation of controls which could impair the achievement of the objectives of the system, function or process. However, either their impact would be less than significant or they are unlikely to occur.
Limited	There are some weaknesses in the design and / or operation of controls which could have a significant impact on the achievement of key system, function or process objectives but should not have a significant impact on the achievement of organisational objectives. However, there are discrete elements of the key system, function or process where we have not identified any significant weaknesses in the design and / or operation of controls which could impair the achievement of the objectives of the system, function or process. We are therefore able to give limited assurance over certain discrete aspects of the system, function or process.
No	There are weaknesses in the design and/or operation of controls which [in aggregate] could have a significant impact on the achievement of key system, function or process objectives and may put at risk the achievement of organisation objectives.

In the event that, pursuant to a request which Oxford City Council has received under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, it is required to disclose any information contained in this report, it will notify PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) promptly and consult with PwC prior to disclosing such report. Oxford City Council agrees to pay due regard to any representations which PwC may make in connection with such disclosure and Oxford City Council shall apply any relevant exemptions which may exist under the Act to such report. If, following consultation with PwC, Oxford City Council discloses this report or any part thereof, it shall ensure that any disclaimer which PwC has included or may subsequently wish to include in the information is reproduced in full in any copies disclosed.

©2011 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. PricewaterhouseCoopers refers to the United Kingdom firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (a limited liability partnership) and other member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, each of which is a separate and independent legal entity

This page is intentionally left blank