

Appendix 3 – Precis of Consultation Responses in order received

No.	Name	Support?	Folly Bridge	Keble Triangle	Science Quarter	St Thomas'	Radcliffe	General comments
1	RM – resident	✓	n/c	Between the exceptional assets of the Keble, Parks & St Giles' church. Heavily used by staff, students & others; variety of buildings of different ages. Need to be able to look after what is good & improve a cluttered setting.	Distinguished history; some very good buildings including exceptional museum (world class attraction). Conspicuous from the parks, needs extra protection.	Historic area with several important buildings, significant parts with a village-like feel. Much has been lost nearby with loss of community. Essential this is preserved & enhanced.	n/c	
2	Oxford resident	✓	Character worthy of designation	History & character worthy of designation	Architecture & history worthy of designation	History & character worthy of designation	Support inclusion due to history, aesthetics, cohesion	
3	JC – other	✓	n/c	n/c	n/c	Last bit of C19 workers' housing, important social history. Should keep remaining old city to balance with new.	n/c	
4	ED – employee	✓	n/c	n/c	n/c	n/c	n/c	Thoroughly support the boundary changes

No.	Name	Support?	Folly Bridge	Keble Triangle	Science Quarter	St Thomas'	Radcliffe	General comments
5	Oxford resident	✓	History worthy of designation	Appearance worthy of designation	Character & appearance worthy of designation	n/c	n/c	
6	Oxford resident	✓	n/c	n/c	Character worthy of inclusion	n/c	n/c	
7	Oxford resident	✓	n/c	n/c	n/c	n/c	Whole site worthy of designation re existing buildings & future development	Great pity not in include whole Infirmary site. CA designation should influence new development in area.
8	OAHS	✓	n/c	Anomalous gap with no justification. Age & quality of buildings qualifies. Sufficient buildings of merit. Relationship to North Oxford.	Anomalous gap with no justification. Age & quality of buildings qualifies. Area of great historic importance; embodies growth of science teaching.	Strength integrity of CA, signal new buildings need to be of high standard, reduce likelihood of inappropriate buildings.	n/c	Additional information given on specific buildings in St Thomas' and further history
9	SJSARA	✓	n/c	Anomaly it was left out. Fits best into Central CA.	Anomaly it was left out.	n/c	Disappointed whole site not proposed for designation.	Minor boundary changes seem sensible.

No.	Name	Support?	Folly Bridge	Keble Triangle	Science Quarter	St Thomas'	Radcliffe	General comments
10	JB – resident	✓	Bridge worth conserving. Appearance north of Thames Street needs rebuilding.	Worthy of designation – fulfils all criteria	Modern buildings may be of interest in future. Architecture & character worthy of designation.	Character worthy of designation	Worthy of designation – fulfils all criteria	Area north of Thames Street & south of Speedwell Street should not be included
11	Oxford Sciences Innovation	X	n/c	n/c	n/c	Island site inclusion is unwarranted. Retention of existing buildings will be required & be too expensive to refurbish.	n/c	Take into full consideration implications of declaring Island Site as an extension to the CA & the impact this would have on the ability of OSI to assist in the renaissance of the West End of Oxford.
12	Oxford Civic Society	✓	n/c	Buildings associated with Central, not North Oxford	Designation would ensure high quality design & appearance of replacement buildings	Designation needs urgent implementation	Disappointed whole site not proposed for designation.	CA should form a coherent spatial whole so policies can apply to whole area & not just setting. Recommendations thorough & well-judged.

No.	Name	Support?	Folly Bridge	Keble Triangle	Science Quarter	St Thomas'	Radcliffe	General comments
13	Liberal Democrat Councillors	✓	Grandpont CA is overdue; area would be best in that.	Common traits with existing Central CA. Designation would provide additional consideration at redevelopment stage.	Charts the uneven history of science growth; remnants of South Parks Road in heyday will be interesting for future.	Redevelopment may remove characterful streets & buildings. Island site has impressive buildings reflecting 19th century entrepreneurship. St Thomas's area shows local workers' cottages, lost throughout much of the city. Comfortably splits into 2 areas which need different approaches.	Would like Freud's and Blavatnik included, prefer the whole site.	Difficult to know what to conserve as what seems preserving changes with time. View cones & sight lines not included - omissions which need to be rectified.
14	University of Oxford Estates Services	X	n/c	Case for designation is weak & confused. Buildings are so different to Central CA – would be an inappropriate addition.	Contains a range of buildings which are becoming obsolete due to requirements for science & research changing. Continuously evolving estate of buildings reflecting use & science of their day. Tighter science regulations require more flexible planning approach. Area as a whole does not stand up to assessment criteria.	n/c	Justification is inconsistent and contrary to reasons given for excluding other parts of the site. No additional heritage protection to listed buildings.	Proposals would place further constraints which would limit flexibility of future development opportunities. Technical report included to accompany comments.

No.	Name	Support?	Folly Bridge	Keble Triangle	Science Quarter	St Thomas'	Radcliffe	General comments
15	Christ Church College	X	n/c	n/c	n/c	Does not have special character required for designation. Would devalue existing CA. Already/can be protected under existing policies. Could inhibit proposals for imaginative regeneration. Would not be a more logical boundary.	n/c	The extension to the boundary would materially undermine any comprehensive plans being brought forward, particularly when considering the desire to support the proposals for redevelopment of Oxford Station.
16	Green Templeton College	X	n/c	n/c	n/c	Does not have special character required for designation. Would devalue existing CA. Already/can be protected under existing policies. Could inhibit proposals for imaginative regeneration. Would not be a more logical boundary.	n/c	St Thomas' area is mixed and fragmented. There is considerable scope for enhancement through new buildings and urban improvements.

This page is intentionally left blank