

APPENDIX 2

Extract from the Minutes of a meeting of the EAST AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE on Wednesday 5 September 2018

Committee members:

Councillor Henwood (Vice-Chair, in the Chair)

Councillor Aziz

Councillor Chapman

Councillor Clarkson

Councillor Garden

Councillor Hollingsworth (for Councillor Taylor)

Councillor Lygo

Councillor Tanner

Councillor Roz Smith

Officers:

Adrian Arnold, Development Management Service Manager

Sally Fleming, Lawyer

Andrew Murdoch, Planning Team Leader

Nadia Robinson, Principal Planning Officer

Jennifer Thompson, Committee and Members Services Officer

Apologies:

5 September: Councillor Taylor sent apologies and Councillor Hollingsworth substituted for her.

In the absence of the Chair, the Vice Chair Councillor Henwood chaired both parts of the meeting.

37. Declarations of interest

Minute 38: 18/01173/FUL Swan School

Councillor Chapman declared he was a governor of Marston Primary School, part of the River Learning Trust, but that he approached the application with an unbiased open mind and would listen to the arguments and weigh the evidence before making a decision.

Councillor Clarkson declared that she has been involved in discussions on the location and design in her capacity as ward councillor for the area, but that she approached the application with an unbiased open mind and would listen to the arguments and weigh the evidence before making a decision.

Councillor Lygo declared that in his capacity as a county councillor he had attended meetings about this school, but that he approached the application with an unbiased

open mind and would listen to the arguments and weigh the evidence before making a decision.

Councillor Hollingsworth declared he had a child attending the nearby Cherwell School, but that he approached the application with an unbiased open mind and would listen to the arguments and weigh the evidence before making a decision.

Councillor Roz Smith declared that part of her ward was in the catchment area for the proposed school and she had recently attended a public meeting about the school, but that she approached the application with an unbiased open mind and would listen to the arguments and weigh the evidence before making a decision.

All councillors took part in the debate and voting on these applications.

39. 18/01697/FUL: Temporary buildings at The Harlow Centre, Raymund Road, Oxford OX3 0PG

The Committee considered an application for planning permission for the partial demolition of the existing Meadowbrook College buildings and erection of modular units to provide a temporary education facility for Meadowbrook College, including the provision of an external play area to the south of the modular units to be enclosed by a 3.0 metre high rebound fence for a period of no more than two years and other associated works at The Harlow Centre, Raymund Road, Oxford, OX3 0PG

The Planning Officer noted that the application was recommended for approval but as application 18/01173/FUL had been refused there was now no justification to for this proposal. There was no reason to provide temporary buildings on this site in the absence of permission for a new Meadowbrook School building.

On being proposed, seconded and put to the vote, the Committee agreed to refuse planning permission as the need for these was dependant on the implementation of the proposals in application 18/01173/FUL, which had been refused. Providing temporary buildings where there was no need was contrary to policy CS25.

The East Area Planning Committee resolved to REFUSE planning permission for application 18/01697/FUL on the following grounds with the precise wording for the reasons for refusal being delegated to the Head of Planning, Sustainable Development and Regulatory Services to determine:

following refusal of application 18/01173/FUL there was no requirement to provide temporary buildings during the construction phase of that application and the proposed development was therefore contrary to policy CS25.