

**Suggested City Executive Board response to the recommendation of the Scrutiny Committee on Monitoring the Community Grant Programme – Report for 2016/16**

**Provided by the Board Member for Culture and Communities**

<b><i>Recommendation</i></b>	<b><i>Agreed?</i></b>	<b><i>Comment</i></b>
1. That the wording of future reports is be more nuanced to reflect the fact that monitoring relies to a significant extent on self-assessment, and perhaps comes with a 'health warning', notwithstanding the evidently positive overall picture.	Agreed	
2. That consideration is given to including more qualitative data in future monitoring reports, a subset of which could be some form of equalities impact assessment.	Agreed	Case studies have always been included in this report, this year's are in appendix 2. There has been an Equalities Impact Assessment undertaken as part of the grant review report.
3. That future monitoring reports include data on the 'spend per beneficiary' of individual grant awards.	Agreed	We can do this but must be read in conjunction with qualitative data as it is an unreliable measure of how effectively a funded project has performed or achieved.

This page is intentionally left blank