

Suggested City Executive Board response to the recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee on the Planning Annual Monitoring Report

Provided by the Board Member for Planning and Regulatory

<i>Recommendation</i>	<i>Agreed? (Y / N / In part)</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<p>1. That consideration is given to whether or not indicator 33: Traffic growth at inner and outer cordons should be included in future Annual Monitoring Reports, and that reasons are provided either way.</p>	<p>Agreed</p>	<p>Yes, as with all indicators in the AMR we will continue to review whether or not the data reported is useful. In this instance we believe that the inclusion of the inner and outer cordon point data is a useful and relevant piece of information for the Council to consider when it comes to its planning policies and when dealing with strategic planning issues that go beyond the city's boundaries as part of fulfilling our 'duty to co-operate'. For example, when evaluating sites to meet the City's unmet housing need, this data is highly relevant. The traffic data leads the City Council to a preference for sites on the edge of the city with ample public transport, walking and cycling provision over sites further away and without such provision, such as the recently proposed Chalgrove Airfield site.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank