

To: City Executive Board
Date: 17 December 2014
Report of: Head of Finance
Title of Report: Annual Review of Risk Management Strategy

Summary and Recommendations

Purpose of report: For the City Executive Board to approve the Council's Risk Management Strategy and for members to note the adoption of the Risk Management Operating Framework

Key decision : No

Executive lead member: Councillor Ed Turner, Executive member for Finance, Asset Management and Public Health

Policy Framework: Efficient, Effective Council

Recommendation: The City Executive Board approve the Risk Management Strategy and note the adoption of the Risk Management Operating Framework attached at Appendix A

Appendix

Appendix A Risk Management Strategy

Risk Management Strategy

1. A copy of the updated Risk Management Strategy is attached at Appendix A. The updated version of the strategy attached to this report has been reviewed by Audit and Governance committee on the 22nd September 2014. The most significant changes to the Strategy include:

- Inclusion of the role of Head of Business Improvement and Technology to cover Public Service Network (PSN) requirements. It

is a requirement that the Risk Management Strategy is aligned with the Council's ICT security and governance standards to enable it to successfully achieve the annual PSN accreditation from the Cabinet Office, and the inclusion of this information provides this alignment;

- Revised probability and impact measure definitions to clarify the scoring process. These are set out in detail at paragraph 9 below.

2. The Strategy retains the core aims of:

- fully embedding risk management into the culture, processes and procedures of the Council, so that threats and opportunities are proactively managed, thereby strengthening the Council's ability to deliver its objectives and strategic priorities.
- providing a clear and consistent approach to the management of risk throughout the Council.

3. External reviews of the Council's Risk Management Strategy have recently been carried out by Zurich Municipal, the Council's insurer's, and the Council's internal auditors Price Waterhouse Coopers (PWC).

4. Broadly speaking the Zurich report concluded that the Council had an effectively managed risk management strategy and process in place, but made a number of advisory comments, e.g. consistency of approach was not uniform across all services, and engagement could be improved. These have been taken into account as part of the Strategy refresh.

5. The Council's Internal Auditors PWC review of the risk management function reported that performance in this area had improved since the last review. However, the report raised two issues,

- The Risk Management Strategy and Operating Framework have not been recently reviewed by Audit and Governance Committee
Officer Response This has been dealt with by reporting them to the committee on the 22nd September 2014.

- Not all Corporate risks were being reported to CEB.
Officer Response The reporting system restricts the reporting of the Council's highest 6-8 risks on the quarterly integrated report, however this may not always show all corporate risks. The narration that accompanies the report includes details of all red Corporate Risks. All members have access to CorVu and can view the corporate risks at any time. However, amendments are being made to the CorVu reports to ensure all the risks are shown on the CEB report from Q2.

6. To assist in embedding the Strategy across the Council an online training tool is being developed on i-Learn. This will provide a consistent message across all service areas and aid engagement in Risk Management within the Council. This facility allows the owner of the program to track and see who has used the training tool and to target specific staff for training. It is

intended to be rolled out to key risk owners by December 2014, and then to the wider audience throughout 2015.

7. Once approved the updated Strategy and the Operating Framework will be shared with all staff and placed onto the intranet.
8. At the last officer Risk Management Group meeting it was agreed the members of the Group would each become “risk owners” and would report back with updates and case studies on their respective risks throughout the year. The risk owner in this context is the person who is responsible for a specific corporate risk, usually attached to their service area, on the risk register. Learning points and good practice would then be disseminated back through the Council.
9. The Strategy and Operating Framework retains the five level scoring matrix. However, some changes have been made to the definitions of ‘probability’ and ‘impact’ to make it clearer as to what score should be given to the risk being considered.

Risk Probability Measurement Criteria


Scale	Description	Likelihood of Occurrence	Probability of Occurrence
1	Rare	1 in 10 years	The event may occur in certain circumstances
2	Unlikely	1 in 3 years	The event could occur
3	Possible	1 in 2 years	The event should occur
4	Likely	Annually	The event will probably occur
5	Almost certain	Monthly	The event is expected to occur or occurs regularly

Risk Impact Measurement Criteria

Scale	Description	Financial	Reputation	Service / Operations
1	Negligible	<£50k per annum	Public concern restricted to local complaints, which do not attract local media attention.	No impact to service quality, limited disruption to operations
2	Low	£50k - £250k per annum	Minor adverse local / public / media attention and complaints	Minor impact to service quality, minor service standards are not met, short term disruption to operations, minor impact on a partnerships
3	Medium	£250k - £500k per annum	Adverse national media public attention	Significant fall in service quality, major partnership relationships strained, serious disruption in service standards
4	High	£500k - £750k per annum	Serious negative national or regional criticism	Major impact to service quality, multiple service standards are not met, long term disruption to operations, multiple partnerships affected
5	Very High	>£750K per annum	Prolonged, regional & national condemnation	Catastrophic fall in service quality and key service standards are not met, long term catastrophic interruption to operations, several major partnerships are affected

Risk Matrix

Probability



Legal Implications

10. There are no legal implications directly relevant to this report but having proper arrangements to manage risk throughout the organisation is an important component of corporate governance.

Financial Implications

11. The Robust management of risk should assist in mitigating the financial impact to the Council should an event occur.

Equalities Impact

12. Not applicable to this report.

Name and contact details of author:-

Name: Shaun Vinnicombe
Job title: Risk and Insurance Officer
Service Area / Department: Finance
Tel: 01865 252048 e-mail: svinnicombe@oxford.gov.uk

List of background papers:
Version 11

This page is intentionally left blank